arXiv:1702.05206v1 [math.CT] 17 Feb 2017

Notes on Multiple Higher Category Theory

Camell Kachour

February 20, 2017

Abstract

These notes follows the articles [4, 5, 8] which show how powerful can be the method of *Stretchings* initiated with the *Globular Geometry* by Jacques Penon in [10] , to weakened *strict higher structures*. Here we adapt this method to weakened strict multiple ∞-categories, strict multiple (∞, m)-categories, and in particular we obtain algebraic models of weak multiple ∞-groupoids.

Keywords. weak multiple (∞, n)-categories, weak multiple ∞-groupoids, computer sciences.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). 18B40,18C15, 18C20, 18G55, 20L99, 55U35, 55P15.

Contents

1 Multiple Sets **2**
1.1 Multiple Sets . . . 2
1.2 Reflexive Multiple Sets . . . 3

2 Strict multiple ∞-categories **4**

3 Weak multiple ∞-categories **5**
3.1 Multiple categorical stretchings . . . 5

4 Multiple (∞, m)-Sets **6**

5 Weak multiple (∞, m)-categories **8**
5.1 Strict multiple (∞, m)-categories . . . 8
5.2 Multiple (∞, m)-categorical stretchings . . . 9

Introduction

Strict multiple categories had been introduced by Charles Ehresman in [1] in order to produce higher generalization of categories. Surprisingly the *multiple geometry* used in it to produce a theory of higher category has

not been studied as much as it deserve. In these notes we hope filling this gap where in particular we use the technology of *Stretchings* to produce algebraic models of multiple higher category theory. More specifically we shall introduce :

- Algebraic models of weak multiple ∞-categories in 3
- Algebraic models of weak multiple (∞, m)-categories in 5

In particular we propose algebraic models of weak multiple ∞-groupoids which are our models of weak multiple $(\infty, 0)$-categories.

1 Multiple Sets

1.1 Multiple Sets

Fix an integer $n \geq 1$, each finite sequence $\underline{i} = (i_1, i_2, ..., i_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ such that $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < ... < i_n$ is called an n-color, and all n-colors form a set denoted $\mathfrak{I}_n$. Also for a fix n-color $\underline{i} \in \mathfrak{I}_n$, $S^1_{\underline{i}} = \{i_1, ..., i_n\}$ denotes its underlying set of 1-colors i_j. If $1 \leq k \leq n$, the set $S^k_{\underline{i}}$ of k-colors of $\underline{i}$ is well understood, it has $C^n_k = \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}$ k-colors : $S^k_{\underline{i}} = \{\underline{i}^k_1, \underline{i}^k_2, ..., \underline{i}^k_{C^n_k}\}$, i.e each $\underline{i}^k_j$ is a subsequence $(i_{j_1}, i_{j_1}, ..., i_{j_k}) \in \mathbb{N}^k$ of $\underline{i}$ such that $1 \leq i_{j_1} < i_{j_2} < ... < i_{j_k}$. The set $S^{n-1}_{\underline{i}}$ of $(n-1)$-colors of $\underline{i}$ has a particular importance : for $S^{n-1}_{\underline{i}} = \{\underline{i}^{n-1}_1, \underline{i}^{n-1}_2, ..., \underline{i}^{n-1}_n\}$ and $1 \leq k \leq n$ we use the notation $\underline{i}^{n-1}_k = \underline{i} - i_k$ which means that the $(n-1)$-color $\underline{i}^{n-1}_k$ is $(i_1, i_2, ..., \hat{i_k}, ..., i_n) \in \mathbb{N}^{n-1}$ i.e we delete the 1-color i_k from $\underline{i} = (i_1, i_2, ..., i_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$. In fact if $\underline{i} = (i_1, i_2, ..., i_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ is an n-color and if l is an integer such that the $(n+1)$-sequence $(i_1, i_2, ..., l, ..., i_n)$ is an $(n+1)$-color, then we write this last $(n+1)$-color by $\underline{i} + l$. Thus if $\underline{i} = (i_1, i_2, ..., i_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ is an n-color then the notation "*minus*" $\underline{i} - i_k$ means the $(n-1)$-color $(i_1, i_2, ..., \hat{i_k}, ..., i_n) \in \mathbb{N}^{n-1}$ and the notation "*add*" $\underline{i} + l$ means that l doesn't belongs to $S^1_{\underline{i}}$ and that $\underline{i} + l$ must be seen as its corresponding $(n+1)$-color, and possibly it can be reindexed if necessary.

An n-colored set means a set $C_{\underline{i}}$ colored by an n-color $\underline{i}$ like just above. An n-multiple data C_n means a countable set C_n of n-colored sets $C_n = (C_{\underline{i}})_{\underline{i} \in \mathfrak{I}_n}$, and a multiple data C means a countable set $C = (C_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of n-multiple datas C_n if $n \geq 1$ and a *set of objects* C_0.

A multiple set is given by a multiple data $C = (C_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that for all $n \geq 1$, and all n-colored set $C_{\underline{i}}$ in it, this n-colored set is equipped for all $i_j \in S^1_{\underline{i}}$ with *sources and targets* :

$$C_{\underline{i}} \xrightarrow{s^{\underline{i}}_{\underline{i}-i_j}} C_{\underline{i}-i_j} \ , \qquad C_{\underline{i}} \xrightarrow{t^{\underline{i}}_{\underline{i}-i_j}} C_{\underline{i}-i_j}$$

such that for any n-color $\underline{i}$ with $n \geq 2$ the following diagrams commute :



We shall often use the short notation (C, s, t) to denote a multiple set C with sources s and targets t, and no confusion should appear with the langage of colors. If (C', s', t') is another multiple set, a morphism of multiple sets :

$$(C, s, t) \xrightarrow{f} (C', s', t')$$

is given for all n-color $\underline{i}$ by a map $f_{\underline{i}}$ of $\mathbb{S}$ets

$$C_{\underline{i}} \xrightarrow{f_{\underline{i}}} C'_{\underline{i}}$$

which is compatible with all sources and all targets :



The category of multiple sets is denoted $\mathbb{M}\mathbb{S}\text{ets}$

1.2 Reflexive Multiple Sets

A reflexive multiple set $(C, s, t, 1)$ is given by a multiple set (C, s, t) such that for all n-color $\underline{i} = (i_1, ..., i_n) \in \mathfrak{I}_n$ $(n \geq 1)$ and all 1-color $i_j \in S^1_{\underline{i}}$ the n-colored set $C_{\underline{i}}$ is equipped with *reflexions* $1^{\underline{i}-i_j}_{\underline{i}}$

$$C_{\underline{i}-i_j} \xrightarrow{\quad 1^{\underline{i}-i_j}_{\underline{i}} \quad} C_{\underline{i}}$$

For $n \geq 2$ consider an n-color $\underline{i} = (i_1, ..., i_n)$ and $j, k \in [\![1, ..., n]\!]$ such that $j \neq k$, then we require the following commutative diagrams :



If $(C', s', t', 1')$ is another reflexive multiple set, then a morphism of reflexive multiple sets :

$$(C, s, t; 1) \xrightarrow{\quad f \quad} (C', s', t'; 1')$$

is given by a morphism f in $\mathbb{M}\mathbb{S}\text{ets}$ which is compatible the reflexivity's structures, that is for all n-color $\underline{i}$ and all 1-color $i_j \in S^1_{\underline{i}}$, the following diagram commutes :



The category of reflexive multiple sets is denoted $\mathbb{M}_r\mathbb{S}\text{ets}$

The first important monad of this article is the monad of reflexive multiple sets given by the forgetful functor

$$\mathbb{M}_r\mathbb{S}\text{ets} \xrightarrow{\quad U \quad} \mathbb{M}\mathbb{S}\text{ets}$$

and which is in fact monadic. The proof that U is right adjoint and monadic come from the underlying projective sketches of $\mathbb{M}_r\mathbb{S}\text{ets}$ and $\mathbb{M}\mathbb{S}\text{ets}$, and by the evident applications of the theorem of Foltz in [2] and Lair in [9].

2 Strict multiple ∞-categories

A multiple ∞-magma $(M, s, t, (\circ^{\underline{i}}_{\underline{i}-i_j})_{n\geq 1, \underline{i}\in\mathfrak{I}_n})$ is given by a multiple set (M, s, t) such that for all $n \geq 1$ and all $\underline{i} \in \mathfrak{I}_n$ its underlying n-colored sets $M_{\underline{i}}$ are equipped with operations

$$M_{\underline{i}} \underset{M_{\underline{i}-i_j}}{\times} M_{\underline{i}} \xrightarrow{\quad\circ^{\underline{i}}_{i_j}\quad} M_{\underline{i}}$$

where $M_{\underline{i}} \underset{M_{\underline{i}-i_j}}{\times} M_{\underline{i}}$ are given by the following pullbacks

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_{\underline{i}} \underset{C_{\underline{i}-i_j}}{\times} C_{\underline{i}} & \xrightarrow{\quad\pi_1\quad} & C_{\underline{i}} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_0} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle t^{\underline{i}}_{i_j}} \\ C_{\underline{i}} & \xrightarrow[s^{\underline{i}}_{i_j}]{} & C_{\underline{i}-i_j} \end{array}$$

and such that these operations $\circ^{\underline{i}}_{i_j}$ follow the following *positional axioms*

- $s^{\underline{i}}_{\underline{i}-i_j}(a \circ^{\underline{i}}_{i_j} b) = s^{\underline{i}}_{\underline{i}-i_j}(b)$ and $t^{\underline{i}}_{\underline{i}-i_j}(a \circ^{\underline{i}}_{i_j} b) = t^{\underline{i}}_{\underline{i}-i_j}(a)$
- $s^{\underline{i}}_{\underline{i}-i_k}(a \circ^{\underline{i}}_{i_j} b) = s^{\underline{i}}_{\underline{i}-i_k}(a) \circ^{\underline{i}}_{i_j} s^{\underline{i}}_{\underline{i}-i_k}(b)$ and $t^{\underline{i}}_{\underline{i}-i_k}(a \circ^{\underline{i}}_{i_j} b) = t^{\underline{i}}_{\underline{i}-i_k}(a) \circ^{\underline{i}}_{i_j} t^{\underline{i}}_{\underline{i}-i_k}(b)$ if $j \neq k$

A multiple ∞-magma shall be denoted with the shorter notation $(M, s, t; \circ)$ when no confusion appears. If $(M', s', t'; \circ)$ is another multiple ∞-magma, a morphism of multiple ∞-magmas

$$(M, s, t; \circ) \xrightarrow{\quad f\quad} (M', s', t'; \circ')$$

is given by a morphism $f \in \mathbb{M}\mathbb{S}\text{ets}$ such which respects the operations $\circ$, that is for all n-color $\underline{i}$ and all 1-color $i_j \in S^1_{\underline{i}}$ we have $f_{\underline{i}}(a \circ^{\underline{i}}_{i_j} b) = f_{\underline{i}}(a) \circ^{\underline{i}}_{i_j} f_{\underline{i}}(b)$. The category of multiple ∞-magmas is denoted ∞-$\mathbb{M}\mathbb{M}\text{ag}$.

A reflexive multiple ∞-magma $(M, s, t, 1, (\circ^{\underline{i}}_{\underline{i}-i_j})_{n\geq 1, \underline{i}\in\mathfrak{I}_n})$ is given by a reflexive multiple set $(M, s, t, 1)$ and a multiple ∞-magma $(M, s, t, (\circ^{\underline{i}}_{\underline{i}-i_j})_{n\geq 1, \underline{i}\in\mathfrak{I}_n})$ such that

$$1^{\underline{i}}_{\underline{i}+i_k}(a \circ^{\underline{i}}_{i_j} b) = 1^{\underline{i}}_{\underline{i}+i_k}(a) \circ^{\underline{i}+i_k}_{i_j} 1^{\underline{i}}_{\underline{i}+i_k}(b)$$

Morphisms of reflexive multiple ∞-magmas are those of ∞-$\mathbb{M}\mathbb{M}\text{ag}$ which are also morphisms of $\mathbb{M}_r\mathbb{S}\text{ets}$. The category of reflexive multiple ∞-magmas is denoted ∞-$\mathbb{M}_r\mathbb{M}\text{ag}$.

A strict multiple ∞-categories is given by a reflexive multiple ∞-magma $(C, s, t; (\circ^{\underline{i}}_{\underline{i}-i_j})_{n\geq 1, \underline{i}\in\mathfrak{I}_n})$ such that operations $\circ^{\underline{i}}_{\underline{i}-i_j}$ are associative, are unital i.e if for all $a \in C_{\underline{i}}$ we have

$$a \circ^{\underline{i}}_{\underline{i}-i_j} 1^{\underline{i}-i_j}_{\underline{i}}(t^{\underline{i}}_{\underline{i}-i_j}(a)) = 1^{\underline{i}-i_j}_{\underline{i}}(s^{\underline{i}}_{\underline{i}-i_j}(a)) \circ^{\underline{i}}_{\underline{i}-i_j} = a$$

and follow the *middle-four interchange axiom*

$$(a \circ^{\underline{i}}_{\underline{i}-i_j} b) \circ^{\underline{i}}_{\underline{i}-i_k} (c \circ^{\underline{i}}_{\underline{i}-i_j} d) = (a \circ^{\underline{i}}_{\underline{i}-i_k} c) \circ^{\underline{i}}_{\underline{i}-i_j} (b \circ^{\underline{i}}_{\underline{i}-i_k} d)$$

when compositions in both side are well defined.

Morphisms of strict multiple ∞-categories are those of ∞-$\mathbb{M}_r\mathbb{M}\text{ag}$. The category of strict multiple ∞-categories is denoted ∞-$\mathbb{M}\mathbb{C}\text{at}$.

The second important monad of this article is the monad $\mathbb{S} = (S, \lambda, \nu)$ of strict multiple ∞-categories given by the forgetful functor

$$\infty\text{-}\mathbb{M}\mathbb{C}\text{at} \xrightarrow{\quad U\quad} \mathbb{M}\mathbb{S}\text{ets}$$

and which is in fact monadic. The proof that U is right adjoint and monadic come from the underlying projective sketches of ∞-$\mathbb{M}\mathbb{C}\text{at}$ and $\mathbb{M}\mathbb{S}\text{ets}$ which are not difficult to be described. A similar sketch is described in [8]. Then these results come from an application of the theorem of Foltz in [2] and Lair in [9].

3 Weak multiple ∞-categories

3.1 Multiple categorical stretchings

A multiple categorical stretching $\mathbb{E} = (M, C, (\pi_{\underline{i}})_{n\geq 1, \underline{i}\in\mathfrak{I}_n}, ([-,-]^{\underline{i}}_{\underline{i}+i_r})_{n\geq 1,\underline{i}\in\mathfrak{I}_n})$ is given by the following datas :

- A reflexive multiple ∞-magma $(M, s, t, 1, (\circ^{\underline{i}}_{\underline{i}-i_j})_{n\geq 1,\underline{i}\in\mathfrak{I}_n})$
- A strict multiple ∞-category $(C, s, t, 1, (\circ^{\underline{i}}_{\underline{i}-i_j})_{n\geq 1,\underline{i}\in\mathfrak{I}_n})$
- A morphism of reflexive multiple ∞-magmas $M \xrightarrow{\quad\pi\quad} C$
- Operations $[-,-]^{\underline{i}}_{\underline{i}+i_r}$:

$$\underline{M_{\underline{i}}} \xrightarrow{\quad[-;-]^{\underline{i}}_{\underline{i}+i_r}\quad} M_{\underline{i}+i_r}$$

where $\underline{M_{\underline{i}}} = \{(\alpha,\beta)\in M_{\underline{i}}\times M_{\underline{i}} : \pi_{\underline{i}}(\alpha) = \pi_{\underline{i}}(\beta)\}$ and such that :

- $s^{\underline{i}+i_r}_{i_s}[\alpha;\beta]^{\underline{i}}_{\underline{i}+i_r} = [s^{\underline{i}}_{i_s}(\alpha), s^{\underline{i}}_{i_s}(\beta)]^{\underline{i}-i_s}_{\underline{i}-i_s+i_r}$ and $t^{\underline{i}+i_r}_{i_s}[\alpha;\beta]^{\underline{i}}_{\underline{i}+i_r} = [t^{\underline{i}}_{i_s}(\alpha), t^{\underline{i}}_{i_s}(\beta)]^{\underline{i}-i_s}_{\underline{i}-i_s+i_r}$
- $s^{\underline{i}+i_j}_{i_j}([\alpha;\beta]^{\underline{i}}_{\underline{i}+i_j}) = \alpha$ and $t^{\underline{i}+i_j}_{i_j}([\alpha;\beta]^{\underline{i}}_{\underline{i}+i_j}) = \beta$
- $\pi_{\underline{i}+i_r}([\alpha;\beta]^{\underline{i}}_{\underline{i}+i_r}) = 1^{\underline{i}}_{\underline{i}+i_r}(\pi_{\underline{i}}(\alpha)) = 1^{\underline{i}}_{\underline{i}+i_r}(\pi_{\underline{i}}(\beta))$

A morphism of multiple categorical stretchings

$$\mathbb{E} \xrightarrow{\quad(m,c)\quad} \mathbb{E}'$$

is given by the following commutative square in ∞-$\mathbb{M}_{\mathrm{r}}\mathbb{M}$ag,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{\quad m\quad} & M' \\ {\scriptstyle\pi'}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\pi'} \\ C & \xrightarrow[\quad c\quad]{} & C' \end{array}$$

thus we also have the following square in $\mathbb{S}$ets for all n-color $\underline{i}\in\mathfrak{I}_n$ $(n\geq 1)$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M_{\underline{i}} & \xrightarrow{\quad m_{\underline{i}}\quad} & M'_{\underline{i}} \\ {\scriptstyle\pi'_{\underline{i}}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\pi'_{\underline{i}}} \\ C_{\underline{i}} & \xrightarrow[\quad c_{\underline{i}}\quad]{} & C'_{\underline{i}} \end{array}$$

and we require for all $(\alpha,\beta)\in\underline{M_{\underline{i}}}$ the following equality

$$m_{\underline{i}+i_r}([\alpha,\beta]^{\underline{i}}_{\underline{i}+i_r}) = [m_{\underline{i}}(\alpha), m_{\underline{i}}(\beta)]'^{\underline{i}}_{\underline{i}+i_r}$$

The category of multiple categorical stretchings is denoted ∞-$\mathbb{M}\mathbb{E}$tC.
Now consider the forgetful functor :

$$\infty\text{-}\mathbb{M}\mathbb{E}\mathrm{tC} \xrightarrow{\quad U\quad} \mathbb{M}\mathbb{S}\mathrm{ets}$$

given by :

$$(M, C, (\pi_{\underline{i}})_{n\geq 1,\underline{i}\in\mathfrak{I}_n}, ([-,-]^{\underline{i}}_{\underline{i}+i_r})_{n\geq 1,\underline{i}\in\mathfrak{I}_n}) \longmapsto M$$

Proposition 1 *The functor U just above has a left adjoint which produces a monad $\mathbb{M} = (M, \eta, \nu)$ on the category of multiple sets.* □

The proof that U is right adjoint comes from the underlying projective sketches of ∞-$\mathbb{M}\mathbb{E}$tC and $\mathbb{M}\mathbb{S}$ets which are not difficult to be described. A similar sketch is described in [8]. Then these results come from an application of the theorem of Foltz in [2].

Definition 1 A weak multiple ∞-category is a $\mathbb{M}$-algebra □

4 Multiple (∞, m)-Sets

Consider a multiple set (C, s, t), and an n-color $\underline{i}$ and a 1-color $i_j \in S^1_{\underline{i}}$. A $(\underline{i}, i_j)$-reversor on it is given by a map

$$C_{\underline{i}} \xrightarrow{j^{\underline{i}}_{i_j}} C_{\underline{i}}$$

such that the following two diagrams commute :



If for all $k > m$, and all k-color $\underline{i}$, and each $i_j \in S^1_{\underline{i}}$ they are such $(\underline{i}, i_j)$-reversor $j^{\underline{i}}_{i_j}$ on (C, s, t) then we say that it is a multiple (∞, m)-set. The family of maps $(j^{\underline{i}}_{i_j})_{k>m, \underline{i} \in \mathfrak{I}_k, i_j \in S^1_{\underline{i}}}$ is called a multiple (∞, m)-structure and in that case we shall say that (C, s, t) is equipped with the multiple (∞, m)-structure $j = (j^{\underline{i}}_{i_j})_{k>m, \underline{i} \in \mathfrak{I}_k, i_j \in S^1_{\underline{i}}}$. Seen as multiple (∞, m)-set we denote it by $(C, s, t; (j^{\underline{i}}_{i_j})_{k>m, \underline{i} \in \mathfrak{I}_k, i_j \in S^1_{\underline{i}}})$, or just $(C, s, t; j)$ for a shorter notation, where j design its underlying multiple (∞, m)-structure.

If $(C', s', t'; (j'^{\underline{i}}_{i_j})_{k>m, \underline{i} \in \mathfrak{I}_k, i_j \in S^1_{\underline{i}}})$ is another multiple (∞, m)-set, then a morphism of multiple (∞, m)-sets $(C, s, t; (j^{\underline{i}}_{i_j})_{k>m, \underline{i} \in \mathfrak{I}_k, i_j \in S^1_{\underline{i}}}) \xrightarrow{f} (C', s', t'; (j'^{\underline{i}}_{i_j})_{k>m, \underline{i} \in \mathfrak{I}_k, i_j \in S^1_{\underline{i}}})$ is given by a morphism of multiple sets such that for each $k > m$, each k-color $\underline{i}$ and each $i_j \in S^1_{\underline{i}}$ we have the following commutative diagrams



The category of multiple (∞, m)-sets is denoted (∞, m)-$\mathbb{M}\mathbb{S}$ets

Remark 1 The (∞, m)-structures that we used to define multiple (∞, m)-sets have globular and cubical analogues (see [5, 8]) that we called the *minimal* (∞, m)*-structures*. The multiple analogue of the *globular maximal* (∞, m)*-structures* as defined in [5] and of *cubical maximal* (∞, m)*-structures* as defined in [8] is as follow : for all $k > m$, for each k-color $\underline{i}$ and for all $(k-m-1)$-color $\{i_{j_1}, ..., i_{j_{k-m-1}}\} \in S^{k-m-1}_{\underline{i}}$, there exist maps

$$C_{\underline{i}} \xrightarrow{j^{\underline{i}}_{i_{j_1}}} C_{\underline{i}}\ , \qquad C_{\underline{i}-i_{j_1}} \xrightarrow{j^{\underline{i}-i_{j_1}}_{i_{j_2}}} C_{\underline{i}-i_{j_1}}\ , \qquad C_{\underline{i}-i_{j_1}-i_{j_2}} \xrightarrow{j^{\underline{i}-i_{j_1}-i_{j_2}}_{i_{j_3}}} C_{\underline{i}-i_{j_1}-i_{j_2}}\ ,$$

$$C_{\underline{i}-i_{j_1}-...-i_{j_{k-m-2}}} \xrightarrow{j^{\underline{i}-i_{j_1}-\cdots-i_{j_{k-m-2}}}_{i_{j_{k-m-1}}}} C_{\underline{i}-i_{j_1}-...-i_{j_{k-m-2}}}\ , \qquad C_{\underline{i}-i_{j_1}-...-i_{j_{k-m-1}}} \xrightarrow{j^{\underline{i}-i_{j_1}-\cdots-i_{j_{k-m-1}}}_{i_{j_{k-m}}}} C_{\underline{i}-i_{j_1}-...-i_{j_{k-m-1}}}$$

such that we have the following diagrams in $\mathbb{S}$ets which commute serially :





Also, as in [5, 8] respectively for the globular geometry or for the cubical geometry, it is possible to have a general notion of multiple (∞, m)-structure : this notion gives all possibilities of *inverse structure* between the minimal (∞, m)-structure and the maximal (∞, m)-structure. Thus we define it as follows : a multiple set (C, s, t) is equipped with an (∞, m)-structure if for all $k > m$, all k-color $\underline{i}$, and for all 1-color $i_{j_1} \in S^1_{\underline{i}}$, there exist an integer q with $1 \leq q \leq k-m-1$, there exist a q-color $\{i_{j_1}, ..., i_{j_q}\} \in S^q_{\underline{i}}$, and there exist maps

$$C_{\underline{i}} \xrightarrow{j^{\underline{i}}_{i_{j_1}}} C_{\underline{i}} \ , \qquad C_{\underline{i}-i_{j_1}} \xrightarrow{j^{\underline{i}-i_{j_1}}_{i_{j_2}}} C_{\underline{i}-i_{j_1}} \ , \qquad C_{\underline{i}-i_{j_1}-i_{j_2}} \xrightarrow{j^{\underline{i}-i_{j_1}-i_{j_2}}_{i_{j_3}}} C_{\underline{i}-i_{j_1}-i_{j_2}} \ ,$$

$$C_{\underline{i}-i_{j_1}-...-i_{j_{q-1}}} \xrightarrow{j^{\underline{i}-i_{j_1}-...-i_{j_{q-1}}}_{i_{j_q}}} C_{\underline{i}-i_{j_1}-...-i_{j_{q-1}}} \ , \qquad C_{\underline{i}-i_{j_1}-...-i_{j_q}} \xrightarrow{j^{\underline{i}-i_{j_1}-...-i_{j_q}}_{i_{j_{q+1}}}} C_{\underline{i}-i_{j_1}-...-i_{j_q}}$$

such that we have the following diagrams in $\mathbb{S}$ets which commute serially :



and that the minimal (∞,m)-structures are those with $q=1$, and the maximal (∞,m)-structures are those with $q=k-m-1$ and where we have to consider all q-colors $\{i_{j_1},...,i_{j_q}\}\in S^q_{\underline{i}}$. □

5 Weak multiple (∞,m)-categories

5.1 Strict multiple (∞,m)-categories

A multiple (∞,m)-magma $(M,s,t;j)$ is given by a multiple ∞-magma (M,s,t) equipped with an (∞,m)-structure j in the sense of 4, and a morphism of (∞,m)-magma is a morphism of ∞-$\mathbb{MM}$ag which is also a morphism of (∞,m)-$\mathbb{MS}$ets. The category of multiple (∞,m)-magmas is denoted (∞,m)-$\mathbb{MM}$ag. A reflexive multiple (∞,m)-magma $(M,s,t;1;j)$ is given by a multiple ∞-magma (M,s,t) equipped with reflexivity 1 and equipped with an (∞,m)-structure j. A morphism of reflexive multiple (∞,m)-magmas is a morphism of ∞-$\mathbb{M}_r\mathbb{M}$ag which is also a morphism of (∞,m)-$\mathbb{MS}$ets. The category of reflexive multiple (∞,m)-magmas is denoted (∞,m)-$\mathbb{M}_r\mathbb{M}$ag.

A strict multiple (∞,m)-category is given by a strict multiple ∞-category $(C,s,t,(\circ^{\underline{i}}_{\underline{i}-i_j})_{n\geq 1,\underline{i}\in\mathfrak{I}_n})$ equipped with an (∞,m)-structure. As for the globular geometry or the cubical geometry (see [5, 8]) it is not difficult to

show that such (∞, m)-structure is unique under this strictness. The category (∞, m)-$\mathbb{MC}$at of strict multiple (∞, m)-categories is the full subcategory of (∞, m)-$\mathbb{M}_r\mathbb{M}$ag spanned by the strict multiple (∞, m)-categories.

The third important monad of this article is the monad $\mathbb{S}^m = (S^m, \lambda^m, \nu^m)$ of strict multiple (∞, m)-categories given by the forgetful functor

$$(\infty, m)\text{-}\mathbb{MC}\text{at} \xrightarrow{\quad U \quad} \mathbb{MS}\text{ets}$$

and which is in fact monadic. The proof that U is right adjoint and monadic come from the underlying projective sketches of (∞, m)-$\mathbb{MC}$at and $\mathbb{MS}$ets which are not difficult to be described, and it is enough to use the result in 2 the sketch of reversors described in 4, and then these results come from an easy application of the theorem of Foltz in [2] and Lair in [9].

5.2 Multiple (∞, m)-categorical stretchings

A multiple (∞, m)-categorical stretching $(M, C, (\pi_{\underline{i}})_{n\geq 1, \underline{i}\in\mathfrak{I}_n}, ([-,-]^{\underline{i}}_{\underline{i}+i_r})_{n\geq 1, \underline{i}\in\mathfrak{I}_n})$ is given by the following datas :

- A reflexive multiple (∞, m)-magma $(M, s, t; 1; j; (\circ^{\underline{i}}_{\underline{i}-i_j})_{n\geq 1, \underline{i}\in\mathfrak{I}_n})$
- A strict multiple (∞, m)–category $(C, s, t; 1; j; (\circ^{\underline{i}}_{\underline{i}-i_j})_{n\geq 1, \underline{i}\in\mathfrak{I}_n})$
- A morphism of reflexive multiple (∞, m)-magma $M \xrightarrow{\quad \pi \quad} C$
- Operations $[-,-]^{\underline{i}}_{\underline{i}+i_r}$:

$$\underline{M_{\underline{i}}} \xrightarrow{\quad [-;-]^{\underline{i}}_{\underline{i}+i_r} \quad} M_{\underline{i}+i_r}$$

where $\underline{M_{\underline{i}}} = \{(\alpha, \beta) \in M_{\underline{i}} \times M_{\underline{i}} : \pi_{\underline{i}}(\alpha) = \pi_{\underline{i}}(\beta)\}$ such that (see 3.1) :

- $s^{\underline{i}+i_r}_{i_s}[\alpha;\beta]^{\underline{i}}_{\underline{i}+i_r} = [s^{\underline{i}}_{i_s}(\alpha), s^{\underline{i}}_{i_s}(\beta)]^{\underline{i}-i_s}_{\underline{i}-i_s+i_r}$ and $t^{\underline{i}+i_r}_{i_s}[\alpha;\beta]^{\underline{i}}_{\underline{i}+i_r} = [t^{\underline{i}}_{i_s}(\alpha), t^{\underline{i}}_{i_s}(\beta)]^{\underline{i}-i_s}_{\underline{i}-i_s+i_r}$
- $s^{\underline{i}+i_j}_{i_j}([\alpha;\beta]^{\underline{i}}_{\underline{i}+i_j}) = \alpha$ and $t^{\underline{i}+i_j}_{i_j}([\alpha;\beta]^{\underline{i}}_{\underline{i}+i_j}) = \beta$
- $\pi_{\underline{i}+i_r}([\alpha;\beta]^{\underline{i}}_{\underline{i}+i_r}) = 1^{\underline{i}}_{\underline{i}+i_r}(\pi_{\underline{i}}(\alpha)) = 1^{\underline{i}}_{\underline{i}+i_r}(\pi_{\underline{i}}(\beta))$

A morphism of multiple (∞, m)-categorical stretchings

$$\mathbb{E} \xrightarrow{\quad (m,c) \quad} \mathbb{E}'$$

is given by the following commutative square in (∞, m)-$\mathbb{M}_r\mathbb{M}$ag,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{\quad m \quad} & M' \\ {\scriptstyle \pi'}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi'} \\ C & \xrightarrow[\quad c \quad]{} & C' \end{array}$$

thus we also have the following square in $\mathbb{S}$ets for all n-color $\underline{i} \in \mathfrak{I}_n$ $(n \geq 1)$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M_{\underline{i}} & \xrightarrow{\quad m_{\underline{i}} \quad} & M'_{\underline{i}} \\ {\scriptstyle \pi'_{\underline{i}}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi'_{\underline{i}}} \\ C_{\underline{i}} & \xrightarrow[\quad c_{\underline{i}} \quad]{} & C'_{\underline{i}} \end{array}$$

and we require for all $(\alpha, \beta) \in \underline{M_{\underline{i}}}$ the following equality

$$m_{\underline{i}+i_r}([\alpha,\beta]^{\underline{i}}_{\underline{i}+i_r}) = [m_{\underline{i}}(\alpha), m_{\underline{i}}(\beta)]'^{\underline{i}}_{\underline{i}+i_r}$$

The category of multiple (∞, m)-categorical stretchings is denoted (∞, m)-$\mathbb{M}\mathbb{E}$tC.
Now consider the forgetful functor :

$$(\infty, m)\text{-}\mathbb{M}\mathbb{E}\text{tC} \xrightarrow{\quad U^m \quad} \mathbb{M}\text{Sets}$$

given by :

$$(M, C, (\pi_{\underline{i}})_{n\geq 1, \underline{i}\in\mathfrak{I}_n}, ([-,-]^{\underline{i}}_{\underline{i}'+i_r})_{n\geq 1, \underline{i}\in\mathfrak{I}_n}) \longmapsto M$$

Proposition 2 *The functor U^m just above has a left adjoint which produces a monad $\mathbb{M}^m = (M^m, \eta^m, \nu^m)$ on the category of multiple sets.* □

The proof that U is right adjoint comes from the underlying projective sketches of (∞, m)-$\mathbb{M}\mathbb{E}$tC and $\mathbb{M}$Sets which are not difficult to be described (see for example [8]). Then these results come from an application of the theorem of Foltz in [2].

Definition 2 A weak multiple (∞, m)-category is a $\mathbb{M}^m$-algebra. The category of weak multiple (∞, m)-categories is denoted $\mathbb{M}^m$-$\mathbb{A}$lg. Also models of weak multiple ∞-groupoids are given by the weak multiple $(\infty, 0)$-categories, and thus the category of weak multiple ∞-groupoids is denoted $\mathbb{M}^0$-$\mathbb{A}$lg □

References

[1] Charles Ehresmann, *Problèmes universels relatifs aux catégories n-aires* , Comptes rendus de l'Académie des Sciences (1967), Volume 264, pages 273-276. 1

[2] F. Foltz, *Sur la catégorie des foncteurs dominés*, Cahiers de Topologie et de Géométrie Différentielle Catégorique (1969), volume 11(2), pages 101–130). 3, 4, 6, 9, 10

[3] Marco Grandis and Paré Robert, *An introduction to multiple categories (On weak and lax multiple categories, I)*, Cahiers de Topologie et de Géométrie Différentielle Catégorique, fascicule 2, volume LVII (2016).

[4] Kamel Kachour, *Définition algébrique des cellules non-strictes*, Cahiers de Topologie et de Géométrie Différentielle Catégorique, volume 1 (2008), pages 1–68. 1

[5] Camell Kachour, *Algebraic definition of weak (∞, n)-categories*, Theory and Applications of Categories (2015), Volume 30, No. 22, pages 775-807 1, 6, 7, 8

[6] Camell Kachour, *An algebraic approach to weak ω-groupoids*, Australian Category Seminar, 14 September 2011. http://web.science.mq.edu.au/groups/coact/seminar/cgi-bin/speaker-info.cgi?name=Camell+Kachou

[7] Camell Kachour, *(∞, n)-ensembles cubiques*, CLE Seminar, 23 novembre 2016. https://sites.google.com/site/logiquecategorique/Contenus/201611-kachour

[8] Camell Kachour, *Aspects of Cubical Higher Category Theory*, ArXiv 1st February 2017. https://128.84.21.199/abs/1702.00336 1, 4, 6, 7, 8, 10

[9] Christian Lair, *Condition syntaxique de triplabilité d'un foncteur algébrique esquissé*, Diagrammes, tome 1, exposé nï¿ï¿½2, pages CL1–CL6, 1979. 3, 4, 9

[10] Jacques Penon, *Approche polygraphique des ∞-catégories non-strictes*, Cahiers de Topologie et de Géométrie Différentielle Catégorique (1999), pages 31–80. 1

[11] Jacques Penon, *∞-catégorification de structures équationnelles*, Séminaires Itinérants de Catégories (S.I.C) à Amiens, Septembre 2005.

Camell Kachour
Institut de Recherche en Informatique Fondamentale
CNRS (UMR 8243), Paris, France.
Phone: 00 33645847325
Email:camell.kachour@gmail.com